Antitubercular Constituents from the Stem Wood of Cinnamomum kotoense

Fu-Chi Chen,[†] Chien-Fang Peng,[‡] Ian-Lih Tsai,[†] and Ih-Sheng Chen*,[†]

Graduate Institute of Natural Products, College of Pharmacy, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 807, Republic of China, and Faculty of Biomedical Laboratory Sciences, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 807, Republic of China

Received February 15, 2005

Five new compounds, kotolactone A (1), kotolactone B (2), secokotomolide (3), kotodiol (4), and 2-acetyl-5-dodecylfuran (5), and 36 known compounds have been isolated from the stem wood of *Cinnamomum kotoense*. The structures of these new compounds were determined by means of spectroscopic analysis. The known butanolides, isoobtusilactone A (6) and lincomolide B (7), showed in vitro antitubercular activities with MIC values of 22.48 and 10.16 μ M, respectively, against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* 90-221387.

Tuberculosis is an infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis. For over thirty years, no antitubercular agents with new mechanisms of action have been developed, and the worldwide incidence of multidrugresistant *M. tuberculosis* has become an increasingly serious public health problem. Searching for structurally new types of drugs effective against this infectious disease has therefore become vitally important. Recently, about 200 species of Formosan plants have been screened for antitubercular activity, and Cinnamomum kotoense Kanehira et Sasaki (Lauraceae) was shown to be one of the active species. C. kotoense is a small evergreen tree, endemic to Lanyu Island of Taiwan,¹ and recently has been cultivated as an ornamental plant. The chemical constituents and the biological activity of this plant have not yet been investigated, but examination of the stem wood has led to the isolation of five new compounds (1–5). This paper reports the structural elucidation of these new compounds and the antitubercular activity of the isolates.

Results and Discussion

Kotolactone A (1) was isolated as colorless needles. The EIMS afforded the molecular ion $[M]^+$ at m/z 520, implying a molecular formula of $C_{33}H_{60}O_4$, which was subsequently confirmed by the HREIMS. UV absorption at 243 nm and IR data (1770 and 1678 cm^{-1}) indicated the presence of an α -alkylidene-substituted γ -lactone skeleton, and the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (δ 168.1, C-5; δ 126.2, C-6) suggested the presence of a butvrolactone moietv² connected to another aliphatic chain by an acetal linkage.³ The ¹H NMR spectrum of 1 (Table 1) showed a high degree of similarity to that of machilactone (42),³ indicating *E*-geometry (δ 7.09, H-1') of the double bond and *cis*-configurations of CH₃-3a $(\delta 1.71)/H-6a (\delta 4.89)$ and H-6a $(\delta 4.89)/H_2-1'' (\delta 1.68)$. However, both side chains at C-1' and C-1'' in 1 (m/z 520) contain four methylene groups less than those in machilactone (42, m/z 632). A significant fragment at m/z 337 in the EIMS spectrum of 1 suggested the presence of a tetradecylidene side chain at C-6. On the basis of the data above, the structure of kotolactone A was elucidated as 1, which was further confirmed by COSY, DEPT, HETCOR, NOESY (Figure 1), and HMBC (Figure 2) experiments.

Kotolactone B (2) was isolated as colorless needles. Its molecular formula was established as $C_{33}H_{60}O_4$ by EIMS

[†] Graduate Institute of Natural Products.

([M]⁺, m/z 520) and HREIMS. UV absorption at 243 nm and IR data (1771 and 1680 cm⁻¹) were similar to those of **1**. The ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) of **2** was similar to that of **1**, except that H-2 resonated at δ 5.27 and H-1" at δ 1.63 in **2**, instead of δ 5.00 and 1.68, respectively, suggesting that **2** is a stereoisomer of **1**. H-1' resonating at δ 7.09 suggests that **2** possesses *E*-geometry. A *cis*-relationship between CH₃-3a (δ 1.64) and H-6a (δ 4.79) and between H-6a (δ 4.79) and H-2 (δ 5.27) were supported by NOESY correlations (Figure 1). A significant fragment at m/z 337 also appeared in the EIMS of **2**, suggesting the presence of a tetradecylidene side chain at C-6. According to the above data, the structure of kotolactone B was elucidated as **2**, which was confirmed by COSY, DEPT, HETCOR, NOESY (Figure 1), and HMBC (Figure 2) experiments.

Secokotomolide (3) was obtained as a colorless oil. Its molecular formula of $C_{17}H_{30}O_4$ was determined by EIMS ([M]⁺, *m/z* 298) and HREIMS. UV absorption at 220 nm and IR absorption bands are due to the presence of hydroxyl (3447 cm⁻¹), ester (1725 cm⁻¹), and carbonyl (1643 cm⁻¹) groups, suggesting that **3** is a secobutanolide.² The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3** was similar to that of secolincomolide A,⁴ except that **3** had one methylene unit less in the alkyl side chain. The negative optical rotation $\{[\alpha]^{25}_D - 11.5^\circ\}$ of **3** indicated that C-3 possesses an *R* configuration, compared with that of secolincomolide A $\{[\alpha]^{25}_D - 11.3^\circ\}$.⁴ Thus, the structure of secokotomolide was elucidated as **3**, which was further confirmed by COSY, DEPT, HETCOR, and NOESY (Figure 1) experiments.

Kotodiol (4) was isolated as colorless needles. Its molecular formula of $C_{28}H_{58}O_2$ was determined by EIMS ([M]⁺, m/z 426) and HREIMS. The IR spectrum showed a hydroxyl absorption at 3416 cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed an oxymethine proton at δ 4.83 (1H, t, J = 5.4 Hz) that suggested the presence of a dihydroxylated carbon resonating at δ 101.7 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. A residual *n*-heptacosyl group [δ 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-28), 1.25 (46H, s, H-4-H-26), 1.27 (2H, m, H-3), 1.39 (2H, m, H-27), 1.66 (2H, m, H-2)] was observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum. According to the above data, the structure of kotodiol was elucidated as **4**, which was further confirmed by COSY, ¹³C NMR, HMQC, and HMBC (Figure 2) experiments.

2-Acetyl-5-dodecylfuran (5) was obtained as colorless needles. Its molecular formula of $C_{18}H_{30}O_2$ was determined by EIMS ([M]⁺, m/z 278) and HREIMS. UV absorptions at 211 and 275 nm indicated the presence of a 2-acetylfuran moiety.⁶ The IR spectrum showed an absorption band for

10.1021/np0580210 CCC: \$30.25 © 2005 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 09/02/2005

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +886-7-3121101, ext. 2191. Fax: +886-7-3210683. E-mail: m635013@kmu.edu.tw.

[‡] Faculty of Biomedical Laboratory Sciences.

Table 1. ¹H NMR Data of Machilactone (42), Kotolactone A (1), and Kotolactone B (2) in CDCl₃ (J Hz)

position	42 (600 MHz)	1 (400 MHz)	2 (400 MHz)
2	5.01 (1H, t, J = 4.8)	5.00 (1H, t, J = 4.8)	5.27 (1H, t, J = 5.2)
6a	4.89 (1H, d, J = 1.2)	4.89 (1H, d, J = 1.6 Hz)	4.79 (1H, d, J = 1.6)
1′	7.09 (1H, td, J = 7.8, 1.8)	7.09 (1H, td, J = 7.8, 1.8)	7.02 (1H, td, J = 8.0, 1.2)
2'	2.40 (2H, m)	2.41 (2H, m)	2.38 (2H, m)
3'	1.50 (2H, m)	1.51 (2H, m)	1.51(2H, m)
4' - 17'	1.26 (28H, s)		
4' - 13'		1.25 (20H, brs)	1.25 (20H, brs)
1″	1.68 (2H, m)	1.68 (2H, m)	1.63 (2H, m)
$2^{\prime\prime}$	1.37 (2H, m)	1.38 (2H, m)	1.32 (2H, m)
3'' - 14''	1.26 (28H, s)		
3'' - 12''		1.25 (20H, brs)	1.25 (20H, brs)
CH ₃ -3a	1.71 (3H, s)	1.71 (3H, s)	1.64 (3H, s)
18'	0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.2)		
17''	0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.2)		
14'		0.87 (3H, t, J = 6.8)	0.87 (3H, t, J = 6.4)
13"		0.87 (3H, t, J = 6.8)	0.87 (3H, t, J = 6.4)



Figure 1. NOESY correlations of 1, 2, and 3.



Figure 2. HMBC connectivities of 1, 2, and 4.

a conjugated ketone group at 1676 cm⁻¹. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **5** showed an acetyl group at δ 2.43 (3H, s), a pair of olefinic protons at δ 6.15, 7.10 (each 1H, d, J = 3.2 Hz), and a dodecyl group [δ 0.87 (3H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, H-17), 1.24 (18H, brs, H-8-H-16), 1.67 (2H, m, H-7), 2.69 (2H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, H-6)]. According to these observations, the structure of **5** was elucidated to be 2-acetyl-5-dodecylfuran, which was further supported by prominent fragment ions such as m/z 109, 123, 137, 179, 193, and 235 in the EIMS. 2-Acetyl-5-dodecylfuran (**5**) was first isolated from nature, though it has been previously synthesized by Scheinkönig et al.⁷

The known compounds isoobtusilactone A (6),⁸ lincomolide B (7),⁹ apigenin (8),¹⁰ kaempferol (9),¹¹ quercetin (10),¹² genkwanin (11),¹⁰ (+)-catechin (12),¹³ (-)-catechin (13),¹⁴ (-)-4'-hydroxy-5,7,3'-trimethoxyflavan-3-ol (14),¹⁵ (±)-syringaresinol (15),¹⁶ (-)-sesamin (16),¹⁷ trans-ferulic acid (17),¹⁸ trans-coumaric acid (18),¹⁹ 2,6-dimethoxy-1,4benzoquinone (19),²⁰ syringaldehyde (20),²¹ vanillin (21),²² 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (22),²³ protocatechuic acid (23),²⁴ benzoic acid (24),²⁵ a mixture of sitostenone (25)²⁶ and stigmasta-4,22-dien-3-one (26),²⁷ a mixture of β -sitosterol (27) and stigmasterol (28),²⁸ a mixture of β -sitosteryl-3-O- β-D-glucoside (**29**)^{28,29} and stigmasteryl-3-*O*-β-D-glucoside (**30**),²⁸ squalene (**31**),³⁰ trans-phytol (**32**),³⁰ 2-acetyl-5-methylfuran (**33**),³¹ a mixture of methyl palmitate (**34**) and methyl stearate (**35**),³² lauric acid (**36**),³³ a mixture of palmitic acid (**37**),³⁴ margaric acid (**38**),³⁵ and stearic acid (**39**),³⁶ docosanoic acid (**40**),³⁷ and tetracosane (**41**)³⁸ were identified by comparisons of physical and spectroscopic data ([α]_D, UV, IR, ¹H NMR, and MS] with authentic samples or literature data.Bioactivity-guided fractionation of the active CHCl₃ fraction led to the isolation of isoobtusilactone A (**6**) and lincomolide B (**7**) as active constituents with antitubercular activity, showing MIC values of 22.48 and 10.16 μM, respectively. Vanillin (**21**) and lauric acid (**36**) showed MIC values of 82.24 and 62.5 μM, respectively. Rifampin (MIC of 0.24 μM) was used as the positive control.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. All melting points were determined on a Yanaco micro-melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra (KBr or neat) were taken on a Perkin-Elmer System 2000 FT-IR spectrometer. UV spectra were obtained on a Jasco UV-240 spectrophotometer in EtOH. EIMS spectra were recorded on a Micromass TRIO-2000 Chart 1



spectrometer. HRMS spectra were recorded on a Finnigan/ Thermo Quest NAT mass spectrometer. 1D and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity 400 and Bruker AV-500 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are given in ppm (δ), with TMS as the internal standard. Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco P-1020 polarimeter. Silica gel (70-230, 230– 400 mesh) (Merck) was used for CC, and silica gel 60 F-254 (Merck) was used for TLC and preparative TLC.

Plant Material. The stem wood of *C. kotoense* was purchased from Wandan, Pingtung County, Taiwan, in September 2002 and identified by one of the authors (I.S.C.). A voucher specimen was deposited in the herbarium of the School of Pharmacy, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, Republic of China.

Extraction and Isolation. The dried stem wood (11.5 kg) of *C. kotoense* was extracted with cold MeOH to yield the MeOH extract (1.16 kg). The extract was partitioned between H_2O and CHCl₃ (1:1) to afford a CHCl₃-soluble fraction (fraction A, 410 g). The H_2O extract was partitioned with *n*-BuOH (1:1) and afforded an *n*-BuOH-soluble fraction (fraction B, 90 g). Part of fraction A (100 g) was chromatographed

on a Si gel (2.5 kg) column with a step gradient of n-hexane (100%), n-hexane-EtOAc (99:1, 97:3, 95:5, 9:1, 4:1, 7:3, 3:2, 1:1, 3:7), EtOAc (100%), EtOAc-MeOH (9:1, 4:1, 1:1), and MeOH (100%) to give 15 fractions (1–15). Fraction A5 (1.091 g) was chromatographed on Si gel (30 g) eluting with *n*-hexane, gradually increasing the polarity with EtOAc to give 20 fractions (each 250 mL, A5-1-A5-20). Fraction A5-4 (182 mg) was further purified by preparative TLC (*n*-hexane-EtOAc, 40:1) to afford 36 (145 mg). Fraction A5-6 (172 mg) was further purified by preparative TLC (*n*-hexane-EtOAc, 20:1) to obtain 40 (132 mg). Fraction A5-9 (105 mg) was further purified by preparative TLC (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH, 20:1) to obtain 41 (96 mg). Fraction A6 (1.224 g) was chromatographed on Si gel (30 g) eluting with *n*-hexane, gradually increasing the polarity with EtOAc to give 15 fractions (each 250 mL, A6-1-A6-15). Fraction A6-7 (89 mg) was further purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-CHCl₃, 1:1) to obtain 4 (23 mg). Fraction A6-12 (112 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (*n*-hexane–EtOAc, 5:1) to obtain a mixture of 27 and 28 (39 mg). Fraction A6-14 (88 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-EtOAc, 4:1) to yield a mixture of 25 and 26 (26 mg). Fraction A7 (0.02 g)

Chart 2



was purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-Me₂CO, 5:1) to obtain 3 (3 mg). Fraction A-8 (9.929 g) was recrystallized with MeOH to obtain 14 (43 mg). The mother liquor (9.873 mg) was chromatographed on Si gel (300 g) eluting with a gradient of n-hexane, CHCl₃, EtOAc, and MeOH to give 10 fractions (each 250 mL, A8-1-A8-10). Fraction A8-3 (2.699 mg) was chromatographed on Si gel (90 g) eluting with a gradient of n-hexane, EtOAc, and MeOH to give 10 fractions (each 250 mL, A8-3-1-A8-3-10). Fraction A-8-3-3 (1.202 g) was chromatographed on Si gel (30 g) eluting with a gradient of CHCl₃, EtOAc, Me₂CO, and MeOH to give six fractions (each 250 mL, A8-3-3-1-A8-3-3-6). Fraction A8-3-3-4 was further purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-EtOAc, 20:1) to yield a mixture of 37, 38, and 39 (112 mg). Fraction A8-3-5 (0.775 g) was resubjected to Si gel (30 g) eluting with a gradient of n-hexane, CH₂Cl₂, and EtOAc to give 10 fractions (each 250 mL, A8-3-5-1-A8-3-5-10). Fraction A-8-3-5-2 (98 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-EtOAc, 40:1) to obtain a mixture of **34** and **35** (70 mg). Fraction A8-7 (0.523 g) was subjected to Si gel (20 g) eluting with a gradient of *n*-hexane and EtOAc to give 10 fractions (each 250 mL, A8-7-1-A8-7-10). Fraction A8-7-1 was purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-Me₂CO, 100: 1) to afford **31** (1.95 mg). Fraction A8-7-2 (12 mg) was further purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-Me₂CO, 20:1) to obtain 32 (1.5 mg). Fraction A9 (5.400 g) was chromatographed on Si gel (150 g) eluting with a gradient of *n*-hexane (100%), n-hexane-EtOAc (95:5, 9:1, 4:1, 1:1), EtOAc (100%), EtOAc-MeOH (9:1, 4:1, 1:1), and MeOH (100%) to give 15 fractions (each 250 mL, A9-1-A9-15). Fraction A9-2 (0.675 g) was resubjected to Si gel (20 g) eluting with a gradient of *n*-hexane (100%), n-hexane-EtOAc (95:5, 4:1, 3:2, 1:1), and EtOAc (100%) to yield nine fractions (each 250 mL, A9-2-1-A9-2-9). Fraction A9-2-2 (123 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (nhexane-EtOAc, 20:1) to obtain 1 (88 mg). Fraction A9-2-5 (93 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-EtOAc, 20:

1) to afford 2 (42 mg). Fraction A10 (1.59 g) was chromatographed on Si gel (45 g) eluting with CHCl3-MeOH step gradients to give 15 fractions (each 250 mL, A10-1-A10-15). Fraction A10-7 (122 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH, 20:1) to yield 24 (18 mg). Fraction A10-9 (105 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (CHCl₃-Me₂CO, 10:1) to obtain 33 (30 mg). Fraction A10-10 (62 mg) was recrystallized by MeOH to obtain 20 (17 mg). Fraction A11 (0.991 g) was chromatographed on Si gel (30 g) eluting with *n*-hexane, CHCl₃, EtOAc, and MeOH to give 16 fractions (each 250 mL, A11-1-A11-16). Fraction A11-10 (43 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (CHCl₃–Me₂CO, 20:1) to afford 17 (9 mg). Fraction A11-12 (38 mg) was purified by preparative TLC $(CHCl_3-Me_2CO,\ 20{:}1)$ to obtain $18\ (12\ mg).$ Fraction A11-13 (105 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (*n*-hexane–Me₂CO, 20:1) to obtain 7 (23 mg). Fraction A11-14 (16 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-Me₂CO, 20:1) to yield 6 (3.3 mg). Fraction A12 (2.762 g) was subjected to Si gel (80 g) eluting with $\rm CHCl_3-MeOH$ step gradients to give 16 fractions (each 250 mL, A12-1-A12-16). Fraction A12-1 (92 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-Me₂CO, 1:1) to yield 15 (19 mg). Fraction A-12-2 (36.0 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (*n*-hexane-Me₂CO, 10:1) to obtain **19** (13.1 mg). Fraction A-12-10 (52 mg) was recrystallized from MeOH to obtain 16 (13 mg), mp 119-121 °C (lit.¹⁷ mp 119-121 °C). Fraction A12-11 (103 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (EtOAc-MeOH, 10:1) to obtain 23 (20 mg). Fraction A12-13 (86 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (CHCl₃-Me₂CO, 1:1) to yield 8 (38 mg). The Me₂CO-soluble part (1.436 g) of fraction A13 (2.056 g) was chromatographed on Si gel (45 g) eluting with CHCl₃-Me₂CO-MeOH step gradients to give 11 fractions (each 250 mL, A13-1-A13-11). Fraction A13-1 (10 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (n-hexane-EtOAc, 4:1) to obtain 5 (1.2 mg). Fraction A13-5 (123 mg) was recrystallized with MeOH to yield 21 (43 mg). Fraction A13-7 (89 mg) was purified

Table 2. ¹³C NMR Data of Machilactone (**42**), Kotolactone A (**1**), and Kotolactone B (**2**) in CDCl₃

42 (150 MHz)	$1 \ (100 \ MHz)$	2 ~(100~MHz)
103.9	103.9	108.2
109.8	109.8	109.9
168.1	168.1	167.3
126.3	126.2	127.6
78.4	78.4	78.7
150.8	150.9	149.0
30.2	30.1	30.2
28.2	28.1	28.2
23.6, 26.9, 27.2, 29.3,		
29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7		
	23.6, 29.2, 29.3,	23.6, 29.2, 29.3,
	29.4, 29.5	29.4, 29.5, 29.7
32.7	32.6	35.0
31.9	31.9	31.9
22.7	22.6	22.5
32.0	31.9	31.9
22.4	22.6	22.7
	14.1	14.1
14.1		
	42 (150 MHz) 103.9 109.8 168.1 126.3 78.4 150.8 30.2 28.2 23.6, 26.9, 27.2, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7 32.7 31.9 22.7 32.0 22.4 14.1	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

Table 3. MIC Values of Test Compounds from Stem Wood ofC. kotoense against M. tuberculosis 90-221387

compound	MIC^a
kotolactone A (1)	$40.07 \mu M$
kotodiol (4)	$117.37 \mu M$
isoobtusilactone A (6)	$22.48 \mu M$
lincomolide B (7)	$10.16 \mu M$
(-)-4'-hydroxy-5,7,3'-trimethoxyflavan-3-ol (14)	$150.60 \mu M$
(\pm) -syringaresinol (15)	$239.29 \mu M$
syringaldehyde (20)	$137.26 \mu M$
vanillin (21)	$82.24 \mu M$
4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (22)	$368.85 \mu M$
protocatechuic acid (23)	$649.35 \mu M$
benzoic acid (24)	$327.86 \mu M$
mixture of β -sitosterol (27) and stigmasterol (28)	$64 \mu \text{g/mL}$
mixture of β -sitosteryl-3- O - β -D-glucoside (29) and stigmasteryl-3- O - β -D-glucoside (30)	$50 \mu \mathrm{g/mL}$
2-acetyl-5-methylfuran (33)	$322.58 \mu\mathrm{M}$
mixture of methyl palmitate (34) and methyl stearate (35)	$45~\mu { m g/mL}$
lauric acid (36)	$62.50 \mu M$
mixture of palmitic acid (37), margaric acid (38), and stearic acid (39)	$25 \mu { m g/mL}$
rifampin (positive control)	$0.24 \mu M$

^{*a*} Mixture shown as μ g/mL.

by preparative TLC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 10:1) to obtain 22 (13 mg). Fraction A13-9 (77 mg) was recrystallized with MeOH to afford a mixture of 29 and 30 (42 mg). Fraction A14 (2.180 g) was chromatographed on Si gel (70 g) eluting with CHCl₃-MeOH step gradients to give 20 fractions (each 250 mL, A14-1-A14-20). Fraction A14-12 (36 mg) was purified by preparative TLC (CH₂Cl₂-MeOH, 8:1) to obtain 11 (4.2 mg). Part of fraction B (10 g) was subjected to Si gel (300 g) eluting with $CHCl_3$ -MeOH (20:1, 10:1, 5:1, 3:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 1:5, MeOH) to give 20 fractions (B1-B20). Fraction B16 (22 mg) was purified by preparative RP-18 TLC (CH₃CN-H₂O, 2:1) to yield 12 (2.2 mg). Fraction B17 (25 mg) was purified by preparative RP-18 TLC (MeOH-H₂O, 3:1) to yield 10 (4.4 mg). Fraction B-18 (24 mg) was purified by preparative RP-18 TLC (CH₃CN-H₂O, 1:1) to yield 13 (1.2 mg). Fraction B-19 (25 mg) was purified by preparative RP-18 TLC (MeOH-H₂O, 2:1) to yield 9 (4.8 mg).

Kotolactone A (1): colorless needles (MeOH); mp 56–58 °C; $[\alpha]^{25}_{\rm D}$ +23.95° (*c* 2.5, CHCl₃); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (log ϵ) 243 (4.79) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 1770, 1678 (α,β -unsaturated- γ -lactone) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR, see Table 1; ¹³C NMR, see Table 2; EIMS *m/z* 520 ([M]⁺, 14), 477 (23), 369 (20), 337 (11), 309 (10), 291 (60), 139 (12), 123 (10), 111 (16), 97 (15), 95 (15), 81 (14), 71 (10), 69 (25), 67 (16), 57 (39), 55 (40), 43 (100), 41 (30); HREIMS *m/z* 520.4546 (calcd for C₃₃H₆₀O₄, 520.4539).

Kotolactone B (2): colorless needles (MeOH); mp 55–57 °C; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ +20.95° (*c* 2.2, CHCl₃); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 242 (4.79) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 1771, 1680 (α,β-unsaturated-γ-lactone)

cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR, see Table 1; ¹³C NMR, see Table 2; EIMS m/z 520 ([M]⁺, 2), 477 (3), 337 (3), 309 (8), 292 (20), 291 (100), 273 (20), 263 (12), 245 (22), 221 (16), 193 (15), 179 (14), 165 (11), 135 (13), 123 (12), 95 (16), 81 (14), 67 (12); HREIMS m/z 520.4488 (calcd for $C_{33}H_{60}O_4$, 520.4491).

Secokotomolide (3): colorless oil; $[\alpha]^{25}{}_{\rm D}$ -11.5° (*c* 0.28, CHCl₃); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ (log ϵ) 220 (4.44) nm; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3447 (OH), 1725 (ester), 1643 (C=O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.87 (3H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, CH₃-15), 1.25 (14H, brs, H-8–H-14), 1.51 (2H, m, H-7), 2.15 (3H, s, COCH₃), 2.34 (2H, q, J = 7.4 Hz, H-6), 3.72 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.89 (1H, brs, OH-3), 4.89 (1H, brs, H-3), 7.07 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, H-5); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 14.1 (C-15), 22.7 (C-14), 24.3 (C-1), 28.65 (C-6), 28.69 (C-7), 29.3, 29.4, 29.6 (C-8–12), 31.9 (C-13), 52.0 (OCH₃), 73.3 (C-3), 129.6 (C-4), 149.2 (C-5), 166.5 (C-16), 206.4 (C-2); EIMS m/z 298 ([M]⁺, 24), 297 (98), 265 (100), 261 (11), 247 (19), 237 (18), 219 (53), 192 (12), 191 (15), 177 (17), 163 (26), 153 (48), 149 (41), 135 (70), 121 (50), 109 (45), 95 (47), 81 (48), 67 (27), 55 (24); HREIMS: 298.2135 (calcd for C₁₇H₃₀O₄, 298.2144).

Kotodiol (4): colorless needles (Me₂CO); mp 59–61°C; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3416 (OH) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 0.88 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H-28), 1.25 (46H, s, H-4-H-26), 1.27 (2H, m, H-3), 1.39 (2H, m, H-27), 1.66 (2H, m, H-2), 4.83 (1H, t, J = 5.4 Hz, H-1); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 150 MHz) δ 14.1 (C-28), 22.1 (C-3), 22.7 (C-27), 29.2–29.7 (C-4-C-25), 31.9 (C-26), 34.4 (C-2), 101.7 (C-1); EIMS m/z 426 ([M]⁺, 5), 424 (19), 214 (14), 213 (100), 125 (13), 111 (22), 97 (26), 83 (21), 67 (22); HREIMS m/z 426.4362 (calcd for C₂₈H₅₈O₂, 426.4359).

2-Acetyl-5-dodecylfuran (5): colorless needles (Me₂CO); mp 148–150 °C; UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (log ϵ) 211 (4.31), 275 (3.96) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} 1676 (C=O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 0.87 (3H, t, J = 6.8 Hz, H-17), 1.24 (18H, brs, H-8-H-16), 1.67 (2H, m, H-7), 2.43 (3H, s, CH₃CO), 2.69 (2H, t, J = 3.2 Hz, H-6), 6.15 (1H, d, J = 3.2 Hz, H-4), 7.10 (1H, d, J = 3.2 Hz, H-3); EIMS m/z 278 ([M]⁺, 57), 263 (3), 235 (35), 217 (21), 209 (40), 193 (31), 179 (27), 161 (31), 147 (26), 137 (100), 124 (48), 123 (58), 111 (37), 109 (19), 95 (54), 81 (43), 67 (21); HREIMS m/z 278.2246 (calcd for C₁₈H₃₀O₂, 278.2251).

Antitubercular Activity Assay. Antitubercular activity of each test compound was evaluated using Mycobacterium tuberculosis 90-221387. Middlebrook 7H10 agar was used to determine the MICs as recommended by the proportion method.³⁹ Briefly, each test compound was added to Middlebrook 7H10 agar supplemented with OADC (oleic acid-albumindextrose-catalase) at 50-56 °C by a serial dilution to yield a final concentration of 100 to $0.8 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$. Ten milliliters of each concentration of test compound-containing medium was dispensed into plastic quadrant Petri dishes. Several colonies of test isolate of *M. tuberculosis* were selected to make the suspension with Middlebrook 7H9 broth and used as the initial inoculum. The inoculum of test isolate of *M. tuberculosis* was prepared by diluting the initial inoculum in Middlebrook 7H9 broth until the turbidity was reduced to that equivalent to the McFarland no. 1 standard. Final suspensions were prepared by adding Middlebrook 7H9 broth and preparing 10⁻² dilutions of the standardized bacterial suspensions. After solidification of the Middlebrook 7H10 medium, 33 μ L of the 10⁻² dilution of the standardized bacterial suspensions was placed on each quadrant of the agar plates. The agar plates were then incubated at 35 °C with 10% CO₂ for 2 weeks. The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) is the lowest concentration of test compounds that completely inhibits the growth of the test isolate of *M. tuberculosis*, as detected by the unaided eye.

Acknowledgment. This work was kindly supported by a grant from the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

References and Notes

- Hsieh, C. F.; Hung, T. C.; Liao, J. C. Lauraceae in Flora of Taiwan, 2nd ed.; Editorial Committee of the Flora of Taiwan: Taipei, Taiwan, 1996; Vol. 2, pp 443-444.
- (2) Cheng, H. I.; Lin, W. Y.; Duh, C. Y.; Lee, K. H.; Tsai, I. L.; Chen, I. S. J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 1502–1505.

Antitubercular Constituents from Cinnamomum

- (3) Cheng, M. J.; Jayaprakasam, B.; Ishikawa, T.; Seki, H.; Tsai, I. L.; Wang, J. J.; Chen, I. S. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2002**, *85*, 1909–1914. Tsai, I. L.; Hung, C. H.; Duh, C. Y.; Chen, I. S. *Planta Med.* **2002**, *68*,
- (4)142 - 145(5) Lüsch, H.; Uzar, H. C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2000, 11, 4965-
- 4973 (6) Wenkert, E.; Decorzant, R.; Naef, F. Helv. Chim. Acta 1989, 72, 756-
- 766. (7) Scheinkönig, J.; Puchta, V.; Spiteller, G. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1989,
- 5,449-452 Anderson, J. E.; Ma, W.; Smith, D. L.; Chang, C. J.; McLaughlin, J. (8)
- L. J. Nat. Prod. 1992, 55, 71-83. Tsai, I. L.; Hung, C. H.; Duh, C. Y.; Chen, J. H.; Lin, W. Y.; Chen, I. (9)S. Planta Med. 2001, 67, 865-867.
- (10) Nakasugi, T.; Komai, K. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1998, 46, 2560-2564.
- (11) Prescott, A. G.; Stamford, N. P. J.; Wheeler, G.; Firmin, J. L. *Phytochemistry* **2002**, *60*, 589–593.
 (12) Lee, S. S.; Tsai, F. Y.; Chen, I. S. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. **1995**, *42*, 101–
- 105.
- (13) Zhang, H. L.; Nagatsu, A.; Okuyama, H.; Mizukami, H.; Sakakibara, J. Phytochemistry 1998, 48, 665-668.
- (14) Morimoto, S.; Nonaka, G.; Nishioka, I.; Ezaki, N. Takizawa, N. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33, 2281-2286.
- (15) Mukherjee, R. K.; Fujimoto, Y.; Kakinuma, K. Phytochemistry 1994, 37, 1641-1643.
- (16) Miyamura, M.; Nohara, T.; Tomimatsu, T.; Nishioka, I. Phytochemistry 1983, 22, 215-218.
- (17)Sun, N. J.; Chang, C. J.; Cassady, J. M. Phytochemistry 1987, 26, 3051 - 3053
- (18) Kang, S. S.; Chang, Y. S.; Kim, J. S. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2000, 48, 1242–1245.
- (19) Barakat, H. H.; El-Mousallamy, A. M. D.; Souleman, A. M. A.; Awadalla, S. Phytochemistry 1991, 30, 3777-3779.
- (20) Ishii, H.; Chen, I. S.; Akaike, M.; Ishikawa, T.; Lu, S. T. Yakugaku
- Zasshi 1982, 102, 182–195.
 (21) Ren, X. F.; Chen, X. C.; Peng, K.; Xie, X.; Xia, Y.; Pan, X. F. Tetrahedron Asymmetry 2002, 13, 1799–1804.

- (22) Kuo, Y. H.; Shue, M. J. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 1991, 38, 65-69.
- (23) Yasuhara, A.; Kasano, A.; Sakamoto, T. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4211-4213.
- (24)Sang, S. M.; Lapsley, K.; Rosen, R. T.; Ho, C. T. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2002, 50, 607-609.
- (25) Shi, M.; Feng, Y. S. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 3235-3237.
- (26) Tsai, I. L.; Sheen, W. S.; Chen, J. J.; Chen, I. S. Chin. Pharm. J. 1994, 46, 401-412.
- (27) Almeida, M. L. S.; Kocõvsky, P.; Bäckvall, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61 6587-6590
- (28) Kojima, H.; Sato, N.; Hatano, A.; Ogura, H. Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 2351-2355. (29) Li, J.; Kadota, S.; Kawata, Y.; Hattori, M.; Xu, G. J.; Namba, T. Chem.
- Pharm. Bull. 1992, 40, 3133-3137. (30) Chang, Y. C.; Chang, F. R.; Wu, Y. C. J. Chin. Chem. Soc. 2000, 47,
- $373 \bar{3}80.$ (31) Scholz, S.; Marschall-Weyerstahl, H.; Weyerstahl, P. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1985, 1935-1950.
- (32)Seki, K.; Tomihari, T.; Haga, K.; Kaneko, R. Phytochemistry 1994, 36.425 - 431
- (33)Wahidulla, S.; D'Sonza, L.; Govenker, M. Phytochemistry 1998, 48, 1203 - 1206
- (34) Addae-Mensah, I.; Achenbach, H. Phytochemistry 1985, 24, 1817-1819.
- (35) Takeuchi, S.; Ishibashi, M.; Kobayashi, J. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3712-3713.
- (36) Dupont, M. P.; Llabres, G.; Delaude, C.; Tchissambou, L.; Gastmans, J. P. Planta Med. 1997, 63, 282–284.
 (37) Pouchert, C. J.; Behnke, J. The Aldrich Library of ¹³C and ¹H FT-
- NMR Spectra, Edition 1; 1993; Vol. 1, p 758.
- (38) Pouchert, C. J.; Behnke, J. The Aldrich Library of ¹³C and ¹H FT-NMR Spectra, Edition 1; 1993; Vol. 1, p 7.
- (39) Inderlied, C. B.; Nash, K. A. Antibiotics in Laboratory Medicine, 4th ed.; Williams & Wilkins, 1996; pp 127-175.

NP0580210